


### Corrigenda

# Corrigendum: Using drone imagery to obtain population data of colony-nesting seabirds to support Canada's transition to the global Key Biodiversity Areas program. Nature Conservation 51: 155–166. doi:10.3897/natureconservation.51.96366

Lindsay A. R. Lalach<sup>1</sup>, David W. Bradley<sup>1</sup>, Douglas F. Bertram<sup>2</sup>, Louise K. Blight<sup>3,4</sup>

- 1 Birds Canada, Delta, BC, V4K 2T9, Canada
- 2 Institute of Ocean Sciences, Environment Canada Wildlife Research Division, 9860 West Saanich Rd, P.O. Box 6000, Sidney, BC, V8L 4B2, Canada
- 3 School of Environmental Studies, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8P 5C2, Canada
- 4 Procellaria Research & Consulting, Salt Spring Island, British Columbia V8K 1G2, Canada

Corresponding author: Lindsay A. R. Lalach (lindsay.lalach@gmail.com)



This error means that the national KBA threshold of 4,400 individuals reported in Lalach et al. (2023) is based on the continental population estimate for this species (as per the National KBA Standard Protocol for this ongoing IBA to KBA conversion) (KBA Canada Coalition 2021). This resulted in a higher threshold value than would be expected based on the actual national Glaucous-winged Gull population estimates of 47,800 individuals (Rodway et al. 2023). If the IBA-KBA crosswalk were to use this national estimate, a site with only 478 breeding individuals would trigger the National KBA criterion.



# This article is part of:

# Remote Sensing Applications to Monitor Ecosystem Services

Edited by Javier Martinez-Lopez, Domingo Alcaraz, Simon Willcock, Javier Cabello, Francisco J. Bonet & Joris de Vente

Academic editor: Francisco J. B. García

Received: 1 October 2023 Accepted: 7 November 2023 Published: 20 November 2023

**ZooBank:** https://zoobank. org/757A8B39-4ACD-4621-8756-941808EFF099

Copyright: © Lindsay A. R. Lalach et al. This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

# **Additional information**

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **Ethical statement**

No ethical statement was reported.

Citation: Lalach LAR, Bradley DW, Bertram DF, Blight LK (2023) Corrigendum: Using drone imagery to obtain population data of colony-nesting seabirds to support Canada's transition to the global Key Biodiversity Areas program. Nature Conservation 51: 155–166. doi:10.3897/natureconservation.51.96366. Nature Conservation 53: 319–320. https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.53.113548

### **Funding**

No funding was reported.

### **Author contributions**

All authors have contributed equally.

### **Author ORCIDs**

Lindsay A. R. Lalach https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3154-5412 Louise K. Blight https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6610-7773

# **Data availability**

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text.

# References

KBA Canada Coalition (2021) A National Standard for the Identification of Key Biodiversity Areas in Canada v. 1.0. Wildlife Conservation Society Canada and Key Biodiversity Area Canada Coalition, Toronto. https://doi.org/10.19121/2021.Report.39502

Lalach LAR, Bradley DW, Bertram DF, Blight LK (2023) Using drone imagery to obtain population data of colony-nesting seabirds to support Canada's transition to the global Key Biodiversity Areas program. Nature Conservation 51: 155–166. https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.51.96366

Rodway MS, Campbell WR, Lemon MJF [Preliminary Edition] (2023) Seabird Colonies of British Columbia. Vol. 4: Salish Sea: A history to 2022.

Sólymos P, Toms JD, Matsuoka SM, Cumming SG, Barker NKS, Thogmartin WE, Stralberg D, Crosby AD, Dénes FV, Haché S, Mahon CL, Schmiegelow FKA, Bayne EM (2020) Lessons learned from comparing spatially explicit models and the partners in flight approach to estimate population sizes of boreal birds in Alberta, Canada. The Condor 122(2): duaa007. https://doi.org/10.1093/condor/duaa007